

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/708,312	02/24/2004	Todd L. DePue	MASL29	2311	
37690	7590 05/17/2006		EXAMINER		
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP (LEAR)			LAMBELET, LAWRENCE EMILE		
2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINNATI, OH 45202			1732		
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
		10/708,312	DEPUE, TODD L.	
Office	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Lawrence Lambelet	1732	
The MAILI	NG DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply if - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. s specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the Office later than three months after the mailing justment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			·	
2a)☐ This action 3)☐ Since this a	e to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Fe</u> is FINAL . 2b) This application is in condition for alloward to cordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters	•	
Disposition of Claim	IS			
4a) Of the a 5)	g is/are pending in the application. bove claim(s) 1-4 is/are withdrawn is/are allowed. g is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/o			
Application Papers				
10) The drawing Applicant ma Replacemen	ation is objected to by the Examine (s) filed on is/are: a) access any not request that any objection to the objection to the objection of the correct of the corre	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ 1. ☐ Certif 2. ☐ Certif 3. ☐ Copie applie	ment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: fied copies of the priority documents fied copies of the priority documents fies of the certified copies of the priority fied copies of the priority documents fied copies of the priority fied detailed Copies of the priority field copies of the priorit	s have been received. s have been received in Appl ity documents have been red (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References 2) Notice of Draftspers 3) Notice of Draftspers Paper No(s)/Mail Da	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/M	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/708,312

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-4, drawn to an automotive interior trim assembly, classified in class 296, subclass 70.
- II. Claims 5-9, drawn to a method, classified in class 264, subclass 255.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process can be used to make toys.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art, requiring a different field of search in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Dorton on 3/23/2006, a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute Group II, the method of forming an automotive interior trim assembly in a two-shot molding operation, claims 5-9.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Art Unit: 1732

Claims 1-4 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed 2/17/2006 and one of the IDS's filed 1/24/2006 fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because they do not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. They have been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hier et al (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0184064) in view of Thomson (U.S. Patent 6,627,134).

Hier et al, hereafter "Hier", discloses a method of forming an automotive interior trim assembly in a two-shot molding operation. This method is shown diagrammatically in Fig's 7 and 8 of the reference. Hier further discloses injecting a first material to form

Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

a substrate and a second material to form a cover member in paragraph [0022]. Hier still further teaches that the second material can be a skin covering both the first material and a third entity, which can be interpreted as formed of a third material. This is illustrated in Fig 6 and described in paragraph [0023]. Hier still further discloses using a polycarbonate/ABS alloy material to form a substrate member in paragraph [0022]. The term "retainer" as used by Hier is understood to correspond to substrate.

Hier does not disclose co-injecting a third material along with the second material in the second shot. Hier also does not disclose the materials used for the cover member, including the outer pliable layer and the inner compressible layer.

Thomson discloses co-injecting two materials in a single shot in the Abstract of the reference. Thomson also discloses covering an inner layer with an outer layer in column 1, lines 23-44. Thomson further teaches using a thermoplastic rubber in an outer layer in Example 4. This teaching meets the limitations of "pliable" in Claim 6 and "thermoplastic elastomer" in Claim 8.

The inner layer of Thomson is described as a foamed core. This description is consistent with a broad interpretation of "thermoplastic elastomer foam", as required by Claim 9, and "compressible", as required by Claim 6.

A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to form a cover composite, as taught by Thomson, and combine it with a substrate in the method of Hier, and would have been motivated to do so for the simplification of forming a skin/backing composite without the additional step of inserting a component into the mold interim to the cycle. Because both references are

from the combination.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following documents are cited to further show the state of the art with regard two-shot co-injected automotive interior trim assembly methods:

- U.S. Patent 4,470,926 to Potter
- U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0079603 to Bemis et al
- U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0041912 to Thomson
- U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0032055 to Cavallaro et al
- U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0017023 to Schoemann et al
- U.S. Patent 6,899,363 to Dry
- U.S. Application Publication 2005/0258569 to Shoemann et al (not prior art, but pertinent)
- U.S. Application Publication 2005/0194806 to Cowelchuk et al (not prior art, but petinent)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Lambelet whose telephone number is 571-272-1713. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/708,312 Page 6

Art Unit: 1732

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LEE

CHRISTINA JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER